

Ferguson Township, PA

Comparisons by Geographic Subgroups 2019



2955 Valmont Road Suite 300 Boulder, Colorado 80301 n-r-c.com • 303-444-7863



777 North Capitol Street NE Suite 500 Washington, DC 20002 icma.org • 800-745-8780

Summary

The National Community Survey (The NCSTM) is a collaborative effort between National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) and the International City/County Management Association (ICMA). The survey and its administration are standardized to assure high quality research methods and directly comparable results across The NCS communities. Communities conducting The NCS can choose from a number of optional services to customize the reporting of survey results. Ferguson Township's Comparisons by Geographic Subgroups is part of a larger project for the Township and additional reports are available under separate cover. This report discusses differences in opinion of survey respondents by geographic area.

Understanding the Tables

For most of the questions, one number appears for each question. Responses have been summarized to show only the proportion of respondents giving a certain answer; for example, the percent of respondents who rated the quality of life as "excellent" or "good," or the percent of respondents who participated in an activity at least once. It should be noted that when a table that does include all responses (not a single number) for a question that only permitted a single response does not total to exactly 100%, it is due to the common practice of percentages being rounded to the nearest whole number.

The subgroup comparison tables contain the crosstabulations of survey questions by geographic area. Chi-square or ANOVA tests of significance were applied to these breakdowns of survey questions. A "p-value" of 0.05 or less indicates that there is less than a 5% probability that differences observed between groups are due to chance; or in other words, a greater than 95% probability that the differences observed in the selected categories of the sample represent "real" differences among those populations. As subgroups vary in size and each group (and each comparison to another group) has a unique margin of error, statistical testing is used to determine whether differences between subgroups are statistically significant. Statistical testing was not performed on multiple response questions.

Each column in the following tables is labeled with a letter for each subgroup being compared.

For each pair of subgroup ratings within a row (a single question item) that has a statistically significant difference, an upper case letter denoting significance is shown in the cell with the larger column proportion. The letter denotes the subgroup with the smaller column proportion from which it is statistically different. Subgroups that have no upper case letter denotation in their column and that are also not referred to in any other column were not statistically different.

For example, in Table A below, respondents in Districts 1 (A) and 2 (B) gave significantly lower ratings to overall quality of life than respondents in Districts 3 (C) and 4 (D), as denoted by the "A B" listed in the cell of the ratings for Districts 3 and 4. The overall quality of life rating in District 4 (D) also was significantly lower than that of District 3 (C) (as indicated by the "D" in the rating for District 3).

Figure 1: Commun	ty Characteristics -	 General (Exa 	ample Only)
------------------	----------------------	----------------------------------	-------------

-	District			
Percent rating positively (e.g.,	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4
excellent/good)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
The overall quality of life in ABC	73%	74%	79%	76%
			ABD	A B
Overall image or reputation of ABC	65%	66%	69%	71%
			A B	ABC
ABC as a place to live	80%	81%	85%	82%
			ABD	A B

Four geographic subareas were tracked for comparison and the number of completed surveys for each are in the figure below.

Figure 2: Geographic Areas

Area	Number of Completed Surveys
Ward 1	188
Ward 2	111
Ward 3	42
Pine Grove Mills	91

Findings

Notable differences between areas included the following:

- Residents who lived in Ward 3 tended to give lower ratings than those who lived in other areas to the overall quality of life in Ferguson Township and the Township as a place to raise children.
- Ward 3 residents gave lower ratings to aspects of car travel, such as traffic flow on major streets, in the Township than other residents. Residents who lived in Ward 2 tended to rate aspects related to alternative modes of transportation, such as ease of travel by public transportation and ease of walking, more positively than residents living in other Wards.
- While Ward 3 residents were less likely than others to award favorable ratings to aspects of Natural Environment (such as the cleanliness of the Township), they were more likely to give positive marks to the overall quality of new development, quality of business and service establishments, vibrant downtown/commercial area and economic development.
- Respondents who lived in Ward 1 were less likely than other respondents to award high marks to police, fire and ambulance/EMS services, but more likely to positively rate fire prevention and education.
- Ward 3 residents gave lower ratings than residents in other Wards to most Mobility and Natural Environment-related services, such as street cleaning, street repair, garbage collection and recycling.
- Respondents who lived in Ward 3 tended to rate improving or expanding the Regional Growth Boundary/Sewer Service Area and affordable housing opportunities as a higher priority than respondents who lived in other areas.

Table 1: Community Characteristics - General

		Geographic Area			
	Ward 1 (without Pine Grove Mills)	Ward 2	Ward 3	Pine Grove Mills	
Demonstration and the state of	,				
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	
The overall quality of life in Ferguson Township	92%	95%	83%	95%	
	C	C		С	
Overall image or reputation of Ferguson Township	81%	94%	79%	87%	
		A C			
Ferguson Township as a place to live	95%	91%	88%	94%	
Your neighborhood as a place to live	92%	94%	98%	94%	
Ferguson Township as a place to raise children	98%	97%	66%	98%	
	C	C		C	
Ferguson Township as a place to retire	75%	82%	67%	79%	
		C			
Overall appearance of Ferguson Township	90%	93%	92%	94%	

Table 2: Community Characteristics - Safety

	Geographic Area			
	Ward 1 (without Pine Grove Mills)	Ward 2	Ward 3	Pine Grove Mills
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Overall feeling of safety in Ferguson Township	96%	98%	89%	95%
	С	С		
In your neighborhood during the day	98%	99%	100%	100%
In Ferguson Township's commercial area during the day	96%	98%	100%	96%

Table 3: Community Characteristics - Mobility

		Geograp	hic Area	
	Ward 1 (without Pine Grove Mills)	Ward 2	Ward 3	Pine Grove Mills
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Overall ease of getting to the places you usually have to visit	89%	90%	75%	89%
	C	С		С
Traffic flow on major streets	80%	48%	15%	76%
	B C	С		ВС
Ease of public parking	82%	67%	46%	81%
	B C	С		С
Ease of travel by car in Ferguson Township	88%	80%	61%	89%
	C	С		С
Ease of travel by public transportation in Ferguson Township	52%	79%	60%	52%
		ACD		
Ease of travel by bicycle in Ferguson Township	72%	81%	63%	45%
	D	C D	D	
Ease of walking in Ferguson Township	67%	82%	62%	55%
		ACD		
Availability of paths and walking trails	72%	92%	74%	68%
		ACD		

Table 4: Community Characteristics - Natural Environment

	Geographic Area			
	Ward 1 (without Pine			
	Grove Mills)	Ward 2	Ward 3	Pine Grove Mills
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Quality of overall natural environment in Ferguson Township	92%	96%	73%	97%
	C	C		C
Air quality	89%	96%	93%	96%
		A		
Cleanliness of Ferguson Township	92%	93%	80%	95%
	С	C		С

Table 5: Community Characteristics - Built Environment

	Geographic Area			
	Ward 1 (without Pine			
	Grove Mills)	Ward 2	Ward 3	Pine Grove Mills
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Overall "built environment" of Ferguson Township (including overall design,	77%	80%	57%	66%
buildings, parks and transportation systems)	С	C D		
Public places where people want to spend time	73%	85%	74%	70%
		A D		
Variety of housing options	67%	69%	53%	51%
	C D	C D		
Availability of affordable quality housing	45%	32%	36%	40%
	В			
Overall quality of new development in Ferguson Township	53%	43%	79%	50%
			ABD	

Table 6: Community Characteristics - Economy

		Geograp	hic Area	
	Ward 1 (without Pine Grove Mills)	Ward 2	Ward 3	Pine Grove Mills
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Overall economic health of Ferguson Township	82%	91%	87%	83%
Ferguson Township as a place to work	69%	83% A C	67%	73%
Ferguson Township as a place to visit	67%	69%	56%	65%
Employment opportunities	57%	66% D	53%	45%
Shopping opportunities	39%	61% A	65% A D	46%
Cost of living in Ferguson Township	46%	41%	34%	37%
Overall quality of business and service establishments in Ferguson Township	64%	55%	86% A B D	63%
Vibrant downtown/commercial area	41%	35%	70% A B D	28%

Table 7: Community Characteristics - Recreation and Wellness

	Geographic Area			
	Ward 1 (without Pine Grove Mills)	Ward 2	Ward 3	Pine Grove Mills
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Health and wellness opportunities in Ferguson Township	81% C	90% C D	69%	69%
Fitness opportunities (including exercise classes and paths or trails, etc.)	74%	80% C D	68%	61%
Recreational opportunities	71%	77%	67%	64%
Availability of affordable quality food	66%	59%	68%	54%
Availability of affordable quality health care	64%	83% A C D	65%	50%
Availability of preventive health services	63%	75% D	61%	50%
Availability of affordable quality mental health care	53%	55%	38%	36%

Table 8: Community Characteristics - Education and Enrichment

	Geographic Area			
	Ward 1 (without Pine Grove Mills)	Ward 2	Ward 3	Pine Grove Mills
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Overall opportunities for education and enrichment	77%	86% C	69%	84% C
Availability of affordable quality child care/preschool	61%	77%	84% A	66%
K-12 education	90% C	95% C	74%	100% A C
Adult educational opportunities	68%	70%	69%	63%
Opportunities to attend cultural/arts/music activities	65% C	65% C	43%	57%
Opportunities to participate in religious or spiritual events and activities	86%	90% D	79%	76%

Table 9: Community Characteristics - Community Engagement

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Geographic Area			
	Ward 1 (without Pine			
	Grove Mills)	Ward 2	Ward 3	Pine Grove Mills
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Opportunities to participate in social events and activities	58%	58%	62%	56%
Opportunities to volunteer	70%	63%	60%	70%
Opportunities to participate in community matters	69%	68%	79%	66%
Openness and acceptance of the community toward people of diverse backgrounds	65%	69%	76%	64%
Neighborliness of residents in Ferguson Township	68%	76%	56%	80%
	С	С		С

Table 10: Governance - General

	Geographic Area			
	Ward 1 (without Pine Grove Mills)	Ward 2	Ward 3	Pine Grove Mills
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Ferguson Township	90% C	95% C	71%	85% C
The value of services for the taxes paid to Ferguson Township	57% C	64% C	43%	57%
The overall direction that Ferguson Township is taking	60%	69%	75% A	60%
The job Ferguson Township government does at welcoming citizen involvement	57% C	55% C	36%	74% B C
Overall confidence in Ferguson Township government	65% C	64% C	47%	60%
Generally acting in the best interest of the community	60%	57%	65%	70%
Being honest	64%	70%	75%	72%
Treating all residents fairly	67%	80% C	57%	72%
Overall customer service by Ferguson Township employees (police, receptionists, planners, etc.)	87%	85%	80%	85%
The Federal Government	43%	48%	45%	40%

Table 11: Governance - Safety

		Geographic Area			
	Ward 1 (without Pine Grove Mills)	Ward 2	Ward 3	Pine Grove Mills	
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	
Police services	91%	100%	99%	99%	
		А	А	A	
Fire services	92%	100%	100%	98%	
		А	А	A	
Ambulance or emergency medical services	90%	100%	100%	98%	
		А	А	A	
Crime prevention	87%	93%	88%	91%	
Fire prevention and education	91%	67%	76%	71%	
·	B C D				
Animal control	69%	79%	89%	82%	
			Α		
Emergency preparedness (services that prepare the community for natural	70%	52%	82%	74%	
disasters or other emergency situations)	В		В	В	

Table 12: Governance - Mobility

		Geograp	hic Area	
	Ward 1 (without Pine Grove Mills)	Ward 2	Ward 3	Pine Grove Mills
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Traffic enforcement	80% C	73%	64%	75%
Street repair	60% B C	45% C	19%	65% B C
Street cleaning	77% C	72% C	38%	78% C
Street lighting	67% C	57% C	26%	64% C
Snow removal	74% C	77% C	34%	72% C
Sidewalk maintenance	71% C	79% C	39%	85% C
Traffic signal timing	71% C	60% C	38%	73% C
Bus or transit services	60%	85% A C D	68%	60%

Table 13: Governance - Natural Environment

	Geographic Area			
	Ward 1 (without Pine Grove Mills)	Ward 2	Ward 3	Pine Grove Mills
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Garbage collection	92% C	94% C	82%	99% C
Recycling	83% B C	70% C	53%	83% C
Yard waste pick-up	74% C	84% C	57%	89% A C
Drinking water	70%	88% A C	61%	85% A C
Preservation of natural areas such as open space, farmlands and greenbelts	66%	69%	72%	59%
Ferguson Township open space	66%	76%	80% A D	63%

Table 14: Governance - Built Environment

		Geograp	hic Area	
	Ward 1 (without Pine			
	Grove Mills)	Ward 2	Ward 3	Pine Grove Mills
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Storm drainage	78%	67%	57%	65%
	C			
Sewer services	87%	96%	85%	90%
		A C		
Power (electric and/or gas) utility	87%	86%	88%	99%
				ABC
Utility billing	78%	78%	79%	87%
Land use, planning and zoning	55%	41%	68%	50%
	В		B D	
Code enforcement (weeds, abandoned buildings, etc.)	59%	64%	48%	62%
Cable television	60%	72%	65%	60%

Table 15: Governance - Economy

i i	Geographic Area			
	Ward 1 (without Pine			
	Grove Mills)	Ward 2	Ward 3	Pine Grove Mills
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Economic development	62%	62%	81%	56%

	Geographic Area			
	Ward 1 (without Pine			
	Grove Mills)	Ward 2	Ward 3	Pine Grove Mills
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
			ABD	

Table 16: Governance - Recreation and Wellness

		Geograp	hic Area	
	Ward 1 (without Pine			
	Grove Mills)	Ward 2	Ward 3	Pine Grove Mills
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Township parks	93%	97%	94%	90%
Recreation programs or classes	61%	85%	67%	80%
		Α		A
Recreation centers or facilities	66%	77%	59%	70%
Health services	68%	80%	58%	63%
		C D		

Table 17: Governance - Education and Enrichment

	Geographic Area			
	Ward 1 (without Pine Grove Mills)	Ward 2	Ward 3	Pine Grove Mills
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Public library services	72%	88% C	64%	71%
Township-sponsored special events	55%	46%	42%	63%

Table 18: Governance - Community Engagement

	Geographic Area			
	Ward 1 (without Pine			
	Grove Mills)	Ward 2	Ward 3	Pine Grove Mills
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Public information services	71%	68%	76%	73%

Table 19: Participation General

rubic 17. Farticipation General	Geographic Area			
	Ward 1 (without Pine Grove Mills)	Ward 2	Ward 3	Pine Grove Mills
Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Sense of community	65%	56%	60%	65%
Recommend living in Ferguson Township to someone who asks	89%	87%	93%	91%
Remain in Ferguson Township for the next five years	93%	64%	40%	84%
	ВС	С		ВС
Contacted Ferguson Township (in-person, phone, email or web) for help or	36%	21%	25%	49%

	Geographic Area			
	Ward 1 (without Pine			
	Grove Mills)	Ward 2	Ward 3	Pine Grove Mills
Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
information	В			ВС

Table 20: Participation - Safety

	Geographic Area			
	Ward 1 (without Pine Grove Mills)	Ward 2	Ward 3	Pine Grove Mills
Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Was NOT the victim of a crime	98%	97%	97%	99%
Did NOT report a crime	91%	94%	91%	92%
Stocked supplies in preparation for an emergency	26%	28%	29%	25%

Table 21: Participation - Mobility

	Geographic Area			
	Ward 1 (without Pine			
	Grove Mills)	Ward 2	Ward 3	Pine Grove Mills
Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Walked or biked instead of driving	40%	59%	68%	29%
		A D	A D	
Carpooled with other adults or children instead of driving alone	31%	53%	46%	45%
		Α	A	
Used bus, rail, subway or other public transportation instead of driving	16%	31%	75%	8%
		A D	ABD	

Table 22: Participation - Natural Environment

	Geographic Area			
	Ward 1 (without Pine Grove Mills)	Ward 2	Ward 3	Pine Grove Mills
Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Recycle at home	96%	99%	94%	100%
		С		С
Made efforts to make your home more energy efficient	71%	56%	78%	85%
	В		В	В
Made efforts to conserve water	77%	71%	80%	73%

Table 23: Participation - Built Environment

	Geographic Area			
	Ward 1 (without Pine			
	Grove Mills)	Ward 2	Ward 3	Pine Grove Mills
Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
NOT under housing cost stress	75%	73%	65%	85%

	Geographic Area			
	Ward 1 (without Pine Grove Mills)	Ward 2	Ward 3	Pine Grove Mills
Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
				С
Did NOT observe a code violation	70%	67%	80% B D	58%

Table 24: Participation - Economy

	Geographic Area			
	Ward 1 (without Pine			
	Grove Mills)	Ward 2	Ward 3	Pine Grove Mills
Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Purchase goods or services from a business located in Ferguson Township	85%	93%	100%	94%
		Α	A	
Economy will have positive impact on income	41%	19%	40%	32%
	В		В	
Work in Ferguson Township	30%	26%	35%	31%

Table 25: Participation - Recreation and Wellness

	Geographic Area			
	Ward 1 (without Pine			
	Grove Mills)	Ward 2	Ward 3	Pine Grove Mills
Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Used Ferguson Township recreation centers or their services	59%	45%	66%	47%
	В		B D	
Visited a neighborhood park or Township park	86%	92%	98%	88%
			A D	
Eat at least 5 portions of fruits and vegetables a day	85%	88%	75%	83%
	C	C		
Participate in moderate or vigorous physical activity	86%	87%	98%	94%
			AB	
Reported being in "very good" or "excellent" health	66%	63%	58%	74%
				C

Table 26: Participation - Education and Enrichment

	Geographic Area			
	Ward 1 (without Pine			
	Grove Mills)	Ward 2	Ward 3	Pine Grove Mills
Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Used Ferguson Township public libraries or their services	35%	27%	34%	31%
Participated in religious or spiritual activities in Ferguson Township	35%	21%	26%	37%
	В			В
Attended a Township-sponsored event	30%	15%	22%	37%
	В			ВС

Table 27: Participation - Community Engagement

		Geograph	nic Area	
	Ward 1 (without Pine Grove Mills)	Ward 2	Ward 3	Pine Grove Mills
Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Campaigned or advocated for an issue, cause or candidate	22% C	14% C	4%	27% B C
Contacted Ferguson Township elected officials (in-person, phone, email or web) to express your opinion	11%	7%	4%	19% B C
Volunteered your time to some group/activity in Ferguson Township	31% B	15%	24%	43% B C
Participated in a club	23%	21%	19%	25%
Talked to or visited with your immediate neighbors	98% B C	91% C	70%	98% C
Done a favor for a neighbor	89% B C	69%	65%	93% B C
Attended a local public meeting	36% B C	9%	3%	37% B C
Watched (online or on television) a local public meeting	28% C	19%	12%	34% B C
Read or watch local news (via television, paper, computer, etc.)	84% B C	71%	64%	89% B C
Vote in local elections	86% C	80% C	64%	96% B C

Table 28: Community Focus Areas

	Geographic Area				
	Ward 1 (without Pine Grove Mills)	Ward 2	Ward 3	Pine Grove Mills	
Percent rating positively (e.g., essential/very important)	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	
Overall feeling of safety in Ferguson Township	88%	90%	82%	84%	
Overall ease of getting to the places you usually have to visit	79% D	89% D	79%	67%	
Quality of overall natural environment in Ferguson Township	89% C D	94% C D	80%	76%	
Overall "built environment" of Ferguson Township (including overall design, buildings, parks and transportation systems)	81% C D	74% C D	54%	59%	
Health and wellness opportunities in Ferguson Township	68%	74% D	75% D	54%	
Overall opportunities for education and enrichment	66% D	71% D	65%	50%	
Overall economic health of Ferguson Township	88% C	80%	74%	81%	
Sense of community	79%	69%	69%	75%	

Table 29: Township Management of Taxpayer Funds

	Geographic Area			
	Ward 1 (without Pine			
To what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?	Grove Mills)	Ward 2	Ward 3	Pine Grove Mills
(Percent rating as "strongly agree" or "somewhat agree").	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
The Township effectively manages taxpayer money	82%	94%	87%	77%
		A D		
The Township should raise taxes annually in small increments to cover increased cost of services over time	44%	56%	45%	47%
The Township should only raise taxes as needed, even if in larger increments, to cover cost of services as they increase	54%	54%	54%	62%
The Township should reduce public services to avoid future tax increases	35%	19%	2%	22%
	B C D	C		С

Table 30: Community Policing

Table 30. Community Folicing					
		Geographic Area			
	Ward 1 (without Pine				
	Grove Mills)	Ward 2	Ward 3	Pine Grove Mills	
Percent "excellent" or "good"	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	
Community policing involves police officers working with the community to addres	80%	78%	68%	92%	

	Geographic Area			
	Ward 1 (without Pine	WI 2	WI 2	Diver Course Mills
	Grove Mills)	Ward 2	Ward 3	Pine Grove Mills
Percent "excellent" or "good"	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
causes of crime in an effort to reduce the problems themselves through a wide				С
range of activities. How do you rate Ferguson Township in practicing community				
policing?				

Table 31: Sources of Township Information

	Geographic Area			
Please indicate how much of a source, if at all, you consider each of the following to be for obtaining information about the Township government and its activities,	Ward 1 (without Pine Grove Mills)	Ward 2	Ward 3	Pine Grove Mills
events, and services: (Percent rating as "major source" or "minor source").	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Township website (http://www.twp.ferguson.pa.us/)	85%	71%	83%	95%
	В		В	ВС
Monthly electronic newsletter	66%	52%	48%	76%
	B C			ВС
Print newsletters (spring and fall)	90%	74%	60%	92%
	B C	С		B C
Township Facebook page	42%	38%	57%	48%
			A B	
Township Twitter feed	27%	34%	45%	19%
		D	A D	
Township Instagram feed	24%	20%	45%	23%
			ABD	
Township emails (Constant Contacts)	49%	43%	44%	58%

Table 32: Stormwater Priorities

	Geographic Area			
	Ward 1 (without Pine			
Please rate how much of a priority, if any, each of the following stormwater-related	Grove Mills)	Ward 2	Ward 3	Pine Grove Mills
activities are to you: (Percent rating as "high priority" or "medium priority").	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Township efforts to prevent flooding	87%	82%	88%	93% B
Township preventive maintenance on inlets, pipes, and facilities	92%	87%	100% A B	96% B
Township efforts to take over private stormwater facilities	63%	66%	78% A	68%
Township efforts to meet of PA Dept. of Environment Protection permit requirements	81%	92% A	87%	81%
Township efforts to improve groundwater quality	95%	98% D	100% A D	91%

	Geographic Area			
	Ward 1 (without Pine			
Please rate how much of a priority, if any, each of the following stormwater-related	Grove Mills)	Ward 2	Ward 3	Pine Grove Mills
activities are to you: (Percent rating as "high priority" or "medium priority").	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Township efforts to increase awareness among stakeholders about stormwater	82%	94%	87%	87%
related activities		А		

Table 33: Prioritization of Township Amenities

·	Geographic Area			
Please rate how much of a priority, if any, you think it is for the Township to further improve or expand each of the following amenities: (Percent rating as "high	Ward 1 (without Pine Grove Mills)	Ward 2	Ward 3	Pine Grove Mills
priority" or "medium priority").	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Regional Growth Boundary/Sewer Service Area	76%	81% D	95% A B D	64%
Affordable housing opportunities	73%	88% A	99% A B D	84% A
Township parks	84%	76%	92% B	86%
Environmentally sensitive areas (floodplain, riparian buffers, wetlands, etc.)	83%	94% A	93% A	86%
Forest/open space	85%	90%	92%	89%
Sports fields	71%	70%	58%	67%
Fishing access points	50%	46%	49%	56%
Publicly accessible hunting lands	38%	33%	27%	47% C
Town Square	55%	65% D	74% A D	48%