

FERGUSON TOWNSHIP BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Regular Meeting Agenda

Monday, May 2, 2016

7:00pm

I. ATTENDANCE

The Board of Supervisors held its first regular meeting of the month on Monday, May 2, 2016 at the Ferguson Township Municipal Building. In attendance were:

Board: Steve Miller

Mr. Peter Buckland

Ms. Rita Graef

Ms. Laura Dininni

Staff: Mark Kunkle, Township Manager

David Pribulka, Assistant Township Manager

Dave Modricker, Director of Public Works

Ray Stolas, Director of Planning & Zoning

Others in attendance included: Jendi Ammerman, Recording Secretary; Dean Ball, Cynthia Hahn, Bill Hechinger, Pam Steckler, Gary Peterson, Kelli Hoover, Ron Seybert, John Lichman, Mark Toretti, Jennifer Moeny, Rebecca Chebsky, Sarah Potter, Mike Chebsky

II. CALL TO ORDER

Mr. Miller called the Monday May 2, 2016, regular meeting to order at 7:00PM

- 1. A PUBLIC HEARING ON A RESOLUTION OF THE TOWNSHIP OF FERGUSON, CENTRE COUNTY, ESTABLISHING THE POLICY AND PROCEDURE FOR CONSULTANT SELECTION TO PERFORM CONSTRUCTION INSPECTION SERVICES FOR THE WEST COLLEGE AVENUE STREETScape / SIDEWALK PROJECT.**

Mr. Buckland made a motion to adopt Resolution 2016-15, establishing the policy and procedure for consultant selection to perform construction inspection services for the West College Avenue Streetscape / Sidewalk Project. Ms. Dininni seconded the motion.

Mr. Modricker advised that the resolution is a procedure adopted by PADOT and that the areas edited by the Township are highlighted in blue and the rest of the document is PADOT's template.

ROLL-CALL VOTE: Ms. Graef: YES; Mr. Miller: YES; Mr. Buckland: YES; Ms. Dininni: YES. Motion carries four to zero.

- 2. A PUBLIC HEARING ON A RESOLUTION OF THE TOWNSHIP OF FERGUSON, CENTRE COUNTY, AUTHORIZING THE CHAIRMAN AND SECRETARY TO EXECUTE A LICENSE AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE TOWNSHIP OF FERGUSON AND CROWN CASTLE NG EAST LLC SETTING FORTH THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS FOR OCCUPANCY AND MAINTENANCE OF RIGHT-OF-WAY.**

Ms. Graef made a motion to adopt Resolution 2016-16, authorizing the Chairman and Secretary to execute a license agreement between the Township of Ferguson and Crown Castle NG East LLC. Mr. Buckland seconded the motion.

ROLL-CALL VOTE: Mr. Miller: YES; Mr. Buckland: Yes; Ms. Dininni: YES; Ms. Graef: YES. Motion carries four to zero

3. A PUBLIC HEARING ON A RESOLUTION OF THE TOWNSHIP OF FERGUSON, CENTRE COUNTY, AMENDING RESOLUTION 2015-02 AUTHORIZING THE CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS TO SIGN A MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN THE TOWNSHIP OF FERGUSON AND THE CENTRE COUNTY AGRICULTURAL LAND PRESERVATION BOARD BY ADDING AN ADDENDUM TO THE MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING.

Ms. Dininni made a motion to adopt Resolution 2016-17, amending Resolution 2015-02 authorizing the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors to sign a memorandum of understanding between the Township of Ferguson and the Centre County Agricultural Land Preservation board by adding an addendum to the Memorandum of Understanding. Mr. Buckland seconded the motion.

ROLL-CALL VOTE: Mr. Buckland: YES; Ms. Dininni: YES; Ms. Graef: YES; Mr. Miller: YES. Motion carries four to zero

IV. COMMUNICATIONS TO THE BOARD

Ms. Dininni stated that there is an event on May 2nd at Tudek Park for National Day of Prayer celebration, she was unsure of the time but believed it to be from 5 to 7pm.

V. ACTION ITEMS

1. PRESENTATION ON NORTH ATHERTON STREET PROJECT – MR. DEAN BALL, PROJECT MANAGER

Mr. Dean Ball, Project Manager for PADOT, presented an overview of the project. This project will start at Aaron Drive and runs through the township into the borough up to Park Avenue. The purpose of the project is to improve the drainage and pavement structure to make it more efficient, from just north of Aaron Drive to just South of Park Avenue. The project will include replacing deteriorated inlets and the pipes. 48 inlets already exist; this project will be adding an additional 19 for a total of 67 inlets. The project will also include pipe replacements to improve drainage. Businesses will have access while they are open. The plan is to have no detours, and to have a minimum of one lane in each direction.

The Board discussed additional concerns such as the preservation of trees, concerns over illegal connections to the storm sewer system, and the construction of inlet structures using flowable fill. Mr. Ball stated he would have to look into these issues and provide a response to the Board at a later date.

Mr. Ball addressed concerns about bicycle traffic, advising that the road widening would not adversely affect bicyclists. Mr. Ball addressed concerns about flow into the Big Hollow Drainage Way, and stated PADOT would install two new collection sumps to collect roadway debris.

Mr. Hechinger inquired about narrowing of existing sidewalks. Mr. Ball advised no sidewalks would be narrowed as part of the project, and added that water would not pool on roads as a result of the project, and would, therefore, reduce the spraying of pedestrians by passing traffic.

2. C-NET ANNUAL PRESENTATION- CYNTHIA HAHN, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Mr. Giles, Ferguson Township C-NET representative, reviewed the past year and future plans for the organization. C-NET continues to transfer to high-definition production and streaming. C-NET is in the process of preparing a strategic plan and is currently conducting interviews with community, as well as planning a retreat.

Ms. Hahn, C-NET Executive Director, reviewed the township's sponsorship of programming over the past year. Ms. Hahn also reviewed the trends in viewership of programming sponsored by the township. Ms. Hahn updated the Board on the new "Cloudcast" player used by C-NET for online viewing.

3. DISCUSSION OF ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCE PRESERVATION REFERENDUM

Mr. Kunkle updated the Board on progress made toward a survey regarding the planned Environmental Resource Preservation Referendum. Staff has met with Penn State graduate students to discuss their interest in facilitating the survey. Mr. Kunkle stated that one of the purposes of the survey could be to determine the best strategy for environmental resource preservation, including increased real estate taxes, earned income taxes, or implementing a stormwater management fee. Mr. Kunkle advised that an education effort in advance of the survey is an important step to ensure that recipients of the survey understand what is being proposed. Additional questions include whether or not this issue will be ready for the November ballot, what ideal projects exist to fund with the revenue, and what population is the referendum serving (residents, property owners, etc.)?

Ms. Dininni discussed several differences she envisions between a stormwater management fee and an environmental resource preservation fund. Ms. Dininni opined that a stormwater management fee would lead to the establishment of an authority to implement best management practices and green infrastructure to improve the quality of stormwater runoff. Ms. Dininni believes an environmental resource preservation fund would be used to preserve additional resources like buffer zones, beautiful scenery, open space, and others. Mr. Miller concurred, and further added that he was supportive of a bond issue for stream and groundwater protection as opposed to a stormwater fee.

Ms. Graef questioned whether a stormwater management authority should be a regional initiative at the COG level? Mr. Kunkle advised that the MS4 program is a regional collaboration and that an authority and stormwater management fee may be done at the regional level, as well.

Mr. Hechinger suggested that the Board invite someone from Patton Township to present on the issue since they have had success with a similar referendum in the past.

The Board discussed concrete examples of environmental resource preservation projects versus projects that would be supported by a stormwater management fee. A stormwater management fee could, for example, be used to fund the proposed rain garden project in Suburban Park. Additional examples cited included the Musser Gap acquisition and the drainage improvement project in Piney Ridge. The Board agreed more concrete examples needed to be identified in advance of the referendum.

The Board discussed additional concerns such as the timing of the educational campaign, using

the survey to identify educational gaps that could be filled before the referendum is placed on the ballot, and using consistent terminology throughout the process. Mr. Miller added that an ideal project would be the preservation of a buffered area along Slab Cabin Run with a hiking trail installed. The Board directed staff to proceed with preparing questions for the survey.

Ms. Steckler added that she is supportive of the referendum, and would favor including it on the November ballot since it is a presidential election year and voter turnout would be higher.

4. AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE AMENDED STORMWATER MANAGEMENT ORDINANCE

Mr. Graef made a motion to authorize advertisement of the stormwater management ordinance. Mr. Buckland seconded the motion.

Mr. Kunkle introduced the item, noting that the stormwater management ordinance in the Board's packet is the same ordinance that was reviewed by the Board on March 21st. Mr. Joseph Green, Township Solicitor, provided an opinion on an issue that was raised at the March 21st meeting that the appeal process could not be modified in the stormwater management ordinance to incorporate a waiver process before the Board of Supervisors since the PA Municipalities Planning Code does not provide for this relief.

The Board discussed the prohibition of blasting in water quality sensitive areas. Mr. Kunkle advised that, per discussions with PADEP, the Board could prohibit blasting in certain geographic areas. The Board discussed available alternatives to blasting. Mr. Modricker advised that generally, if there is an alternative to blasting, it is his experience that a contractor will employ the alternative. However, he added, neither he nor the Township Engineer are experts on blasting. Ms. Dininni advised that it was the Board's responsibility to set a policy for staff and PADEP to follow, and that she would be in favor of prohibiting blasting in water quality sensitive areas.

Ms. Dininni moved to amend the motion on the table to include a prohibition on blasting in water quality sensitive areas. Mr. Buckland seconded the motion.

Ms. Hoover advised that she had a conversation with a representative from PADEP who confirmed that the township may restrict blasting in certain areas, and that the representative is aware of recent situations where blasting has contaminated wellfields. Mr. Miller advised that he has discussed this concern with two local hydrogeologists and that they each were comfortable with the wording the proposed ordinance. Mr. Miller added that, for that reason, he does not support amending the language to prohibit blasting.

Mr. Kunkle advised that if blasting were prohibited, a developer desiring to blast in the water quality sensitive area would have to seek relief in the form of a variance from the Zoning Hearing Board. Ms. Graef asked if the Board could consider allowing blasting only as a last resort. Mr. Seybert, Township Engineer, advised that this language could be added to the stormwater ordinance but that more or less restrictive language could be added to the zoning ordinance as a land use regulation.

Ms. Dininni suggested that the Board consider a blasting ban in water quality sensitive areas until the source water protection overlay is in place. Mr. Modricker advised that the Board would then have to revisit and revise the stormwater ordinance after the overlay adopted.

Mr. Lichman commented that he has done civil engineering in Ferguson Township for many years, and that there are pros and cons to both sides. He added that the Board should give consideration to each side of the argument as a blasting prohibition could cost a family building a home a lot of money.

Mr. Peterson added that there is not a lot of scientific data that he has viewed regarding the effects of blasting on water quality. Mr. Peterson added that the problem is not necessarily blasting but the types of conditions in which blasting takes place.

Mr. Buckland and Ms. Dininni concurred that they would rather prohibit blasting until more information is presented on its effects on water quality.

Mr. Miller called for a vote. Mr. Seybert added for clarification that the proposed amendment would only affect plans that require a stormwater site plan – not utility providers, for example.

The motion to amend the motion on the table by prohibiting blasting in water quality sensitive areas failed to carry with Mr. Buckland and Ms. Dininni voting yes and Ms. Graef and Mr. Miller voting no

Mr. Miller asked if there are any other amendments.

Mr. Buckland made a motion to amend the ordinance to require stormwater infiltration basins be located at a distance greater than 50 feet from the nearest critical geologic feature identified in the geotechnical report unless the reports provide for approved mitigating measures that will produce no negative impact on groundwater quality. Ms. Graef seconded the motion.

Mr. Miller advised that the 25-foot setback suggestion initially came from the State College Borough Water Authority. Mr. Lichman stated that the 25-foot setback was reached by consensus, but the water authority would prefer as large a setback as the Board would like to require. Ms. Steckler commented that 25 feet does not seem sufficient. Ms. Hoover concurred. Mr. Buckland stated that this would be an opportunity for developers to be innovative and find creative solutions. Mr. Miller called for a vote on the amendment.

The motion to amend the motion on the table by requiring stormwater infiltration basins to be located at a distance greater than 50 feet from the nearest critical geologic feature identified in the geotechnical report unless the reports provide for approved mitigating measures that will produce no negative impact on groundwater quality passed unanimously.

Mr. Miller called for a vote on the motion to advertise the amendment stormwater management ordinance for public hearing.

The motion to advertise the amendment stormwater management ordinance for public hearing passed unanimously.

5. APPLICATION TO AMEND ZONING ORDINANCE TO PERMIT CHICKENS IN RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS

Mr. Stolas introduced the application submitted to amend the zoning ordinance to permit chickens in residential districts. He added that the request is specifically to amend Chapter 27, Section 204 to allow for the use, and that further discussion would have to be had regarding the specific zoning districts to permit them. Mr. Miller advised that R-3 and R-4 zoning districts, which

are higher density, are probably not ideal locations for raising chickens. Mr. Buckland suggested roosters be prohibited. Mr. Stolas added that other types of fowl, such as geese or ducks, are generally not permitted in existing example ordinances. Mr. Stolas reviewed the proposed timeline, which could include a review of the draft ordinance by the Board of Supervisors at the first meeting in June.

Ms. Dininni asked whether this request would take a significant amount staff time? Mr. Stolas responded that it would take time to develop the draft but that he was confident staff could prepare a draft for Planning Commission review at the second meeting in May. Ms. Dininni asked whether staff would propose permitting all types of chicken coops? Mr. Stolas suggested that an approach could be to permit outside coops that are fenced.

The Board received comments from residents Jennifer Money, Rebecca Chebsky, Sarah Potter, and Mike Chebsky in favor of the zoning amendment. The Board, by consensus, directed staff to proceed with a draft amendment.

6. HALFMOON TOWNSHIP OFFICIAL MAP

Mr. Stolas introduced the draft amendment to the Halfmoon Township Official Map. Ferguson Township has a 45-day review period of the document pursuant to the Municipalities Planning Code. Mr. Stolas noted that the Planning Commission has already reviewed the map and had questions about the proposed "Share the Road" designation and signage for Marengo Rd. which goes into Ferguson Township. Specifically, how will the designation transition into Ferguson Township given that it is not consistent with proposed bicycling facilities identified in the Centre Region Bike Plan? Mr. Stolas suggested that the Township will be updating its own Official Map in the near future and this could be an opportunity to discuss the designation. The Board, by consensus, directed Mr. Stolas to forward the Planning Commission's comments to the Halfmoon Township Board of Supervisors for consideration.

7. THISTLEWOOD LOT 9 LAND DEVELOPMENT PLAN (PLAN LAST DATED: 04/19/16; PLAN DEADLINE: 07/21/16)

Ms. Graef made a motion that the Board of Supervisors approve the Thistlewood Lot 9 Land Development Plan subject to the completion of outstanding conditions as set forth in the Community Planner Memorandum dated April 27, 2016. Mr. Buckland seconded the motion.

Mr. Stolas advised that the majority of comments had been resolved, and the Planning Commission has recommended conditional approval of the subdivision plan to the Board.

The motion passed unanimously.

8. CONTRACT 2016-C1 PAVING GROUP ONE BLUE COURSE DRIVE, SLEEPY HOLLOW DRIVE, CHERRY LANE

Mr. Miller suggested the Board include future contract awards as part of the consent agenda, and that Board members could move to remove an item from the consent agenda if they felt it warranted specific discussion. The Board by consensus agreed.

Mr. Modricker advised that bids were opened on March 29th for Contract 2016-C1 Paving Group One. Three bids were received: 1) New Enterprise Stone & Lime CO., Inc. \$399,638.60; 2) Glenn

O. Hawbaker, Inc. \$406,373.35; and HRI, Inc. \$426,695.50. The engineer's estimate was \$431,534. It's within the budget of \$431,000. Mr. Modricker recommended that the Board of Supervisors award contract 2016-C1 'Paving Group 1' in the amount of \$399,638.60 to New Enterprise Stone & Lime Co., Inc.

Ms. Dininni moved that the Board of Supervisors award Contract 2016-C1 Paving Group One to New Enterprise Stone & Lime Co., Inc. in the amount of \$399,638.60. Ms. Graef seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

9. CONTRACT 2016-C2 PAVING GROUP TWO CONOVER LANE, KENNELWORTH COURT, ASH AVENUE, SCIENCE PARK COURT

Mr. Modricker advised that bids were opened for Contract 2016-C3 ADA Ramps on April 27th. One bid was received – RC Bowman, Inc. for \$81,695.00. The engineer's estimate was \$89,570. This is coming out of a larger fund that includes road work and microsurfacing which has a budget closer to \$225,000, so this is within budget. Mr. Modricker recommended that the Board award contact 2016-C3 to RC Bowman, Inc. in the amount of \$81,695.00.

Ms. Dininni moved that the Board award Contract 2016-C3 ADA Ramps to RC Bowman, Inc. in the amount of \$81,695.00. Ms. Graef seconded the motion.

Ms. Graef asked which ramps would be installed or improved? Mr. Modricker advised that they are all existing ramps adjacent to roads that are part of the microsurfacing program in residential neighborhoods.

The motion passed unanimously.

10. FIBER OPTIC INFRASTRUCTURE STUDY

Mr. Kunkle reviewed the proposed fiber optic infrastructure study that will be done at the COG level. The study would include multiple partners including other Centre Region municipalities, State College Area School District, Centre Area Transportation Authority, and the University Area Joint Authority. The study would provide an estimate for the cost of connection of each partner to the high-speed KINBER network. Mr. Kunkle added that the study had an estimated cost of \$15,000. Ms. Graef questioned whether would could complete this study ourselves without partnering? Mr. Kunkle advised that we could, but that the money to pay for the study would have to come from the general fund, and that it would not identify a potentially more cost-effective, global solution that might come out of a regional study.

Mr. Pribulka added that he attempted to get a better sense of the proposed scope of work from Angel Hernandez, Director of the IT Department at State College Borough, but that he was unable to get ahold of him before the meeting. He advised that one of the conclusions of the study will be a recommendation on whether the Township leases or purchases the KINBER fiber, and what the ongoing maintenance costs would be.

Ms. Graef expressed an interest in determining whether the connection to the KINBER network could be offered to business interested in locating here or already here. She added that it might incentivize economic development if the Township is able to offer Internet speeds that KINBER can provide.

Mr. Buckland referred to notes from the COG Public Services and Environmental Committee meeting. The scope of the study is to determine the options in shared costs of a common fiber optic ring to serve as a backbone to the Wide Area Network while still serving the long-term goals of expansion. Another goal would be to provide a 'walking estimate' of the individual cost to connect each participant location to the shared backbone. A walking estimate will be more accurate than a desktop estimate, but short of preliminary engineering.

Ms. Dininni asked about the cost. Mr. Kunkle advised that there would be no cost to the Township if the study would be paid for from the COG Contingency Fund.

Ms. Graef reiterated her question about economic development. Mr. Buckland recalled that he believed it was not a resource offered to for-profit businesses. Mr. Pribulka added that the high-speed Internet may be offered to entrepreneurial development options like incubator space. In this way, a business occupying an incubator could connect to the KINBER network.

Ms. Dininni asked about the potential for using the KINBER network as a data recovery option. Mr. Pribulka advised that the speeds offered by KINBER's network would allow rapid data recovery from offsite backup in the event of an emergency.

VI. REPORTS

1. Manager

Mr. Kunkle advised that the Board has been provided with a draft of the Centre Region Building Safety and Property Maintenance Code. He added that the code will be reviewed by the COG Public Safety Committee in the coming months. The Board may wish to include the draft as a discussion item at an upcoming meeting.

Mr. Kunkle advised that PADOT would be collecting traffic data on various Township roads. Residents may notice counters on streets where this data will be collected. Mr. Kunkle added that there is a public hearing scheduled for May 11th on the 2017-2020 Centre County Transportation Improvement Program from 5:00 – 7:00 p.m. at the State College Borough Municipal Building.

2. Public Works Director

Mr. Modricker advised that he received a letter from PADOT's traffic engineer regarding a request for a speed limit reduction along Route 26 between Coral St. and the State College Borough boundary line. The letter confirmed that a reduction in the speed limit from 35 m.p.h. to 25 m.p.h. is warranted. Mr. Modricker added that staff is evaluating whether an ordinance will be needed to amend the speed limit and, if so, one will be presented to the Board. If not, staff will proceed with the reduction.

Mr. Modricker reviewed the Campus & Community Sustainability Expo. held at the State College Borough Municipal Building on April 25th. Ms. Dininni inquired about the plan for coordination between students and UAJA for project at Suburban Park. Mr. Modricker advised he would have a conversation with UAJA Executive Director about their design engineer preparing final design.

Mr. Modricker updated the Board on the status of the West College Avenue Right-of-Way acquisition; the fire safety trailer storage building; a microsurfacing project currently out to bid; street tree planting; leaf collection; roadside mowing; capital project reseeding; street sweeping; equipment repairs; and miscellaneous work orders. The next meeting of the Tree Commission is June 20th.

3. Planning & Zoning Director

Mr. Stolas provided an update on the Traditional Town Development zoning ordinance amendment. The public hearing will be scheduled for the next Board meeting. Mr. Stolas advised that he is preparing to coordinate a meeting with the sourcewater protection ordinance working group. Erik Scott has been designated by the Planning Commission to participate in the working group. Mr. Buckland encouraged Mr. Stolas to include Matt Royer from Penn State University.

Mr. Stolas updated the Board on currently active land development plans including Thistlewood Lot #9 that was approved at the meeting this evening. Also, Young Scholars has submitted a plan for expansion of their facility. The Planning Commission has provided comment on the plan. The applicant is currently completing a traffic study. Mr. Buckland had questions about the scope of the traffic study and whether the study would examine the need for a crosswalk at the intersection of Blue Course Dr. and Broadmoor Ln. Mr. Modricker advised that traffic studies typically do not include crosswalks or road widening in their scope.

Ms. Dininni asked why there is no reduced speed limit in the school zone there? Mr. Modricker advised it may be because the school does not recognize walkers to the school.

Mr. Stolas updated the Board on Hunter's Chase Phase 6. The development plan will include 13 single family lots north of Fox Berry Run Rd. The Planning Commission has performed its initial review of the plan and the plan has been forwarded to other reviewing agencies for comment.

4. COG Committee Reports

a. Executive

Mr. Miller advised that the Committee discussed perimeters for extending the WAN/LAN agreement with Comcast as well as tying into the KINBER network. Mr. Miller also advised that he attended the Transportation and Land Use Committee meeting for Ms. Whitaker and there was a discussion on the Centre Region Bike Plan, specifically with regard to the permission or prohibition of e-bikes on mixed use paths. This will be an item for COG discussion. Mr. Miller advised the committee is also preparing an online bike path map.

5. Other non-cog regional committees

a. CCMPO

The items in the report have been covered by Mr. Kunkle regarding the 2017-2020 Centre County Transportation Improvement Program.

b. Spring Creek Watershed Commission

Mr. Buckland advised the Commission received an update on the Atlas Working Group. Mr. Buckland also advised the Commission discussed the application of biosolids in Benner Township and a group of concerned residents interested in stopping the projects. The Commission also discussed the most recent update to the Pennsylvania Climate Impact Assessment. He added that the Commonwealth can expect an increase in precipitation and hotter temperatures. The Commission expects to hear two additional presentations about energy and economic impacts of climate change and the impacts on aquatic systems.

VII. CALENDAR ITEMS – MAY

Mr. Kunkle advised that next Monday night there will be a joint worksession with the Planning Commission at 7:00 p.m. to discuss workforce housing.

VIII. MINUTES

April 18, 2016 Board of supervisors Regular Meeting – Ms. Dininni advised a correction should be made to add clarification she had requested on two items in the Parks Capital Committee Report. Specifically, that she had requested a distinction be provided between items 1 and 2, and that she asked for Ms. Graef to help her understand the same two items in the memo.

Ms. Dininni asks for clarification that what she has as number 2 now, will in fact be letter C in the new revision. Mr. Miller and Ms. Graef assure Ms. Dininni that was correct. It was clarified that what is now B and C (previously 1 and 2) are just switching places.

Ms. Dininni possess the question/concern that Question 1 and 2 are talking about two different years, 2016 and 2017. Ms. Graef replied that question 2 would be referring to the 2017 budget.

Ms. Dininni asked for clarification on how number one and number two are related? She asked if the comprehensive plan is prioritized in item 2, and how that would then relate to 1B (to develop and recommend a funding strategy for planning development operation of regional park facility to the General Forum). She further asked how are those operationally relating.

Mr. Miller replied that he believes the first question is really posed more as a long term look. What are the priorities of this committee overall? And then the second question is for 2017.

Ms. Graef agreed with Mr. Miller, and stated she doesn't think this is just focused on 2016 because of the fact that we are already a quarter of the way through 2016.

Ms. Graef stated that one of the basic conversations that came out of the committee discussions is what are the roles and responsibilities of the parks capital committee versus the authority, and that is what's reflected in the introduction as well. In response to 1B what does the committee believe its priorities should be includes a priority to develop and recommend a funding strategy for parks capital projects. As the budget is looked at, specifically in 2017, an important component of that is having a comprehensive plan by which will help guide the strategy.

Ms. Dininni asks if once the comprehensive plan is in place if it would feed back into the information in 1B.

Ms. Dininni made a motion to approve with the correction the minutes for the April 18, 2016 regular Meeting of the Board of Supervisors. Mr. Buckland seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

IX. ADJOURNMENT

Mr. Buckland made a motion to adjourn the Regular Meeting. Ms. Graef seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

With no further business to come before the Board of Supervisors, Mr. Miller adjourned the May 2, 2016 Regular Meeting at 10:30 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,



Mark Kunkle, Township Manager
For the Board of Supervisors

Date approved by the Board: 06/06/2016